Table S1 Chemical, physical and enzymatic characterisation of barley malt (variety Planet, harvesting year 2019). Means and standard errors were calculated based on in triplicate measurements. The starch content was determined by using the Total Starch Kit (K-TSTA, Megazyme, Bray, Ireland). The free sugar content, defined as the sum of glucose, fructose, sucrose, maltose and maltotriose, was expressed on a dry matter barley malt base, and was determined according to the procedure as applied by Langenaeken et al. (2020). The protein content was calculated by multiplying the total nitrogen content in barley and barley malt, determined by the use of an elemental analyzer (EA 1108 CHNS–O elemental analyzer, CE-Instruments/Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) and the Dumas method, with a factor 6.25. The total arabinoxylan content was determined by converting arabinose and xylose after an acid hydrolysis into alditol acetates, followed by the analysis using a GC-FID as described by Gebruers et al (2005). The arabinoxylan content was calculated based on the sum of arabinose and xylose corrected for arabinose as part of the arabinogalactan peptide by the formula as provided by Courtin et al (2009). The  $\beta$ -glucan content of barley malt was analysed according to EBC Method 3.10.1 by using the mixed linkage  $\beta$ -glucan assay kit (K-BGLU, Megazyme, Bray, Ireland). The  $\alpha$ -amylase and beta-amylase activity (free and total) were analysed according to the procedures outlined by De Schepper et al (2021). The total and free limitdextrinase activity was determined based on the PullG6 Method using the Pullulanase/Limit-Dextrinase Assay Kit (Megazyme, Bray, Ireland). The addition of 25 mM dithiothreitol to the extraction buffer allowed the quantification of the total limit-dextrinase activity, while without the addition, the free limitdextrinase activity was quantified.

	Chemical composition
Starch content (% db)	53.77 ± 1.41
Fermentable sugar content (% db)	9.03 ± 0.16
Glucose (% db)	2.06 ± 0.05
Fructose (% db)	$0.19 \pm 0.00$
Sucrose (% db)	4.56 ± 0.08
Maltose (% db)	$1.99 \pm 0.05$
Maltotriose (% db)	0.23 ± 0.02
Protein content (% db)	9.20 ± 0.33
Arabinoxylan content (% db)	5.82 ± 0.19
β-glucan content (% db)	$0.22 \pm 0.01$
	Physical parameters
Moisture content (%)	Physical parameters 6.81 ± 0.01
Moisture content (%) Thousand kernel weight (g dm)	· ·
	6.81 ± 0.01
	6.81 ± 0.01 42.7 ± 0.26
Thousand kernel weight (g dm)	6.81 ± 0.01 42.7 ± 0.26 Enzyme activity
Thousand kernel weight (g dm) α-amylase activity (total) (CU/g dm)	6.81 ± 0.01 42.7 ± 0.26 Enzyme activity 240 ± 10
Thousand kernel weight (g dm) α-amylase activity (total) (CU/g dm) Beta-amylase activity (total) (BU/g dm)	6.81 ± 0.01 42.7 ± 0.26 Enzyme activity 240 ± 10 12.2 ± 0.5
Thousand kernel weight (g dm) α-amylase activity (total) (CU/g dm) Beta-amylase activity (total) (BU/g dm) Beta-amylase activity (free) (BU/g dm)	$6.81 \pm 0.01$ $42.7 \pm 0.26$ <b>Enzyme activity</b> $240 \pm 10$ $12.2 \pm 0.5$ $10.1 \pm 0.2$

- Langenaeken, NA, De Schepper, CF, De Schutter, DP, Courtin, CM. 2020. Carbohydrate content and structure during malting and brewing: a mass balance study. Journal of the Institute of Brewing, 126:253–262. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/jib.619</u>
- Gebruers, K, Dornez E, Boros D, Fraś A, Dynkowska W, Bedo Z, Rakszegi M, Delcour JA, Courtin C M. 2008. Variation in the content of dietary fiber and components thereof in wheats in the healthgrain diversity screen. Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry. 56:9740–9749. <u>https://doi.org/10.1021/jf800975w</u>
- Courtin CM, Broekaert WF, Swennen K, Aerts G, Van Craeyveld V, Delcour, JA. 2009. Occurrence of arabinoxylo-oligosaccharides and arabinogalactan peptides in beer. Journal of the American Society of Brewing Chemists. 67:112–117. <u>https://doi.org/10.1094/ASBCJ-2009-0323-01</u>
- De Schepper CF, Michiels P, Buvé C, Van Loey AM, Courtin CM. (2021). Starch hydrolysis during mashing: A study of the activity and thermal inactivation kinetics of barley malt α-amylase and βamylase. Carbohydrate Polymers. 255. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carbpol.2020.117494</u>